



# *Article* **Effect of Rh Doping on Optical Absorption and Oxygen Evolution Reaction Activity on BaTiO<sup>3</sup> (001) Surfaces**

**Talgat M. Inerbaev 1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2378-4082) , Aisulu U. Abuova 1,\*, Zhadyra Ye. Zakiye[va](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8122-6276) <sup>1</sup> , Fatima U. Abuova <sup>1</sup> , Yuri A. Mastrikov <sup>3</sup> , Maksim Sokolov <sup>3</sup> , Denis Gryaznov 3,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2894-4471) and Eugene A. Kotomin <sup>3</sup>**

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Technical Physics, L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana 010000, Kazakhstan; talgat.inerbaev@gmail.com (T.M.I.); jadira.zakieva@mail.ru (Z.Y.Z.); abuova\_fu@enu.kz (F.U.A.)
- <sup>2</sup> Vernadsky Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Science, 119991 Moscow, Russia
- 3 Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, LV-1063 Riga, Latvia; yuri@umd.edu (Y.A.M.); makcsokolov@gmail.com (M.S.); kotomin@latnet.lv (E.A.K.)
- **\*** Correspondence: aisulu-us1980@ya.ru (A.U.A.); denis.gryaznov@cfi.lu.lv (D.G.)

**Abstract:** In the present work, we investigate the potential of modified barium titanate (BaTiO<sup>3</sup> ), an inexpensive perovskite oxide derived from earth-abundant precursors, for developing efficient water oxidation electrocatalysts using first-principles calculations. Based on our calculations, Rh doping is a way of making BaTiO<sub>3</sub> absorb more light and have less overpotential needed for water to oxidize. It has been shown that a TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated BaTiO<sub>3</sub> (001) surface is more promising from the point of view of its use as a catalyst. Rh doping expands the spectrum of absorbed light to the entire visible range. The aqueous environment significantly affects the ability of Rh-doped BaTiO $_3$  to absorb solar radiation. After Ti→Rh replacement, the doping ion can take over part of the electron density from neighboring oxygen ions. As a result, during the water oxidation reaction, rhodium ions can be in an intermediate oxidation state between 3+ and 4+. This affects the adsorption energy of reaction intermediates on the catalyst's surface, reducing the overpotential value.

**Keywords:** electrocatalysis; photocatalysis; energy storage and conversion; electrode materials; water splitting

### **1. Introduction**

The growing demand for environmentally friendly and cost-effective energy sources has led to intensive research into various renewable energy sources. In this regard, photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation through water splitting has emerged as a promising avenue due to its affordability and environmental friendliness. In 1972, Honda and Fujishima first reported hydrogen production through photochemical water splitting using the semiconductor  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  [\[1\]](#page-13-0). Since then, this phenomenon has been extensively studied, and numerous materials and water-splitting systems have been developed. In the process of photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting, hydrogen is produced from water by using sunlight and specialized semiconductors called PEC materials. These materials directly split water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen using light energy.

An integrated PEC system consists of light absorbers, electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), electrolytes, and membranes. This system can be used to efficiently produce hydrogen fuel from sunlight, especially through the photo-electrolysis of water, generating sustainable hydrogen and oxygen. However, the key to achieving viable PEC solar water splitting lies in carefully selecting semiconductive electrode materials. These materials must have low band gaps and exceptional stability and be inexpensive. This strategic choice allows for the absorption of a greater amount of visible light, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency of the process.



**Citation:** Inerbaev, T.M.; Abuova, A.U.; Zakiyeva, Z.Y.; Abuova, F.U.; Mastrikov, Y.A.; Sokolov, M.; Gryaznov, D.; Kotomin, E.A. Effect of Rh Doping on Optical Absorption and Oxygen Evolution Reaction Activity on BaTiO<sup>3</sup> (001) Surfaces. *Molecules* **2024**, *29*, 2707. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29112707) [molecules29112707](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29112707)

Academic Editor: Qingguo Shao

Received: 16 April 2024 Revised: 28 May 2024 Accepted: 3 June 2024 Published: 6 June 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

Perovskite-based materials are widely regarded as highly efficient photocatalysts for water splitting due to their adjustable electronic properties [\[2](#page-13-1)[–6\]](#page-13-2). In addition, perovskite materials comprise environmentally benign and inexpensive elements abundant on Earth [\[7,](#page-13-3)[8\]](#page-13-4). Recently, there has been increased focus on using perovskites as costeffective catalysts for water electrolysis due to a deeper comprehension of the rapport between electronic structure and reactivity  $[9,10]$  $[9,10]$ . As a new category of perovskite derivatives, layered Ruddlesden–Popper perovskites are currently attracting growing research attention [\[11,](#page-13-7)[12\]](#page-13-8).

 $BaTiO<sub>3</sub>$ , utilized as a crystal in non-linear optics, dielectric ceramics, and piezoelectric materials, is among the ferroelectric oxides that have been the subject of extended scientific inquiry [\[13\]](#page-13-9). The optical band gap of pristine BaTiO<sub>3</sub> is 3.2–3.4 eV, much larger than the activation energy of 1.23 eV required for water splitting [\[14\]](#page-13-10). Therefore, the use of bare titania for solar energy harvesting is not efficient. Band gap excitation requires ultraviolet irradiation (UV); however, UV light accounts for only 4% of the solar spectrum compared to the 45% that is visible. So, any shift in optical response to the visible range will have a profound positive effect on the photocatalytic efficiencies of  $BaTiO<sub>3</sub>$  materials.

There have been reports of water electrolysis using  $BaTiO<sub>3</sub>$  electrodes [\[15,](#page-13-11)[16\]](#page-13-12). Nisupported BaTiO<sub>3</sub> exhibits activity for  $CO_2$  reformation [\[17\]](#page-13-13), Pd-modified BaTiO<sub>3</sub> efficiently catalyzes  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  reduction [\[18\]](#page-13-14), and Cr-modified BaTiO<sub>3</sub> catalyzes the reduction of nitrobenzene and aniline [\[19\]](#page-13-15). Several methods are used for enhancing the electronic properties of barium titanate for electrocatalysts application. Catalyst performance could be, in principle, improved using different promoters like W, Mn, and Fe [\[20](#page-13-16)[–22\]](#page-13-17). According to a theoret-ical study [\[23\]](#page-13-18), Fe<sub>Ti</sub> and Ni<sub>Ti</sub> substitutions increased electrical conductivity and reduced overpotentials for the OER. Xie et al. [\[24\]](#page-13-19) revealed experimentally that applying a 2% Mo doping to BaTiO<sub>3</sub> results in a reduction in the optical bandgap that activates its photocatalytic performance. Eu-doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles show remarkable electrochemical performance towards the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and excellent stability over 2000 cyclic voltammetry cycles [\[25\]](#page-13-20).

Rh doping is one of the most effective methods that enables one to produce a visiblelight-responsive photocatalyst  $[26-28]$  $[26-28]$ . Related to BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, rhodium-doped SrTiO<sub>3</sub> exhibits remarkable photocatalytic efficiency in the process of  $H_2$  evolution from an aqueous methanol solution under visible light irradiation, outperforming all other visible-light-activated oxide photocatalysts [\[27\]](#page-14-2). Bhat et al. [\[29\]](#page-14-3) suggested that Rh-doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub> resulted in the formation of mid-gap electronic states, causing a reduction in the band gap of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> while simultaneously avoiding the formation of recombination centers. As seen from the studies mentioned above, the research on Rh-modified impacts on the catalyst properties of  $BaTiO<sub>3</sub>$  is limited and requires more detailed consideration. In light of these novel findings, in the current article, we investigate the degree to which a minor modification can be made to the chemical composition of the surface of barium titanate (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>) to tune its catalytic reactivity. This study focuses on the optical absorption and catalytic performance towards OER of pure and Rh-modified tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> structures.

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2. Theoretical Surface and Thermodynamic Model**

#### *2.1. Structure Models*

In this work, the tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> phase, which is not energetically favorable at a temperature of zero but exists at room temperature, was used in the modeling conducted. Initial crystal structure was taken from the Materials Project database [\[30\]](#page-14-4). To make the (001) surface models of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, slabs with eleven layers of TiO<sub>2</sub> and BaO that are symmetric concerning the mirror plane were used. The end of one of these slabs had BaO planes for the crystal and was a supercell containing 108 atoms. The second slab terminated in TiO<sup>2</sup> planes containing 112 atoms. The (001) surface was chosen because it is the most energetically favorable for both  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and BaO terminations [\[31\]](#page-14-5). A vacuum layer measuring 15 Å thick was applied perpendicular to the slabs to avoid artificial interactions between the slab and its periodic images.

Even though these slabs are not stoichiometric, they maintain symmetry when the Ba/Ti atoms are substituted with Rh on the outermost layer, preventing the system from having a dipole moment. Due to periodic boundary conditions, this dipole moment<br>stated the systems of the systems of the systems. The system of the system of the systems of the system of the may significantly distort the calculated energy values of the systems. These two slab ends may significantly distort the calculated chergy values of the systems. These two stab ends<br>(TiO<sub>2</sub> and BaO) are the only possible terminations of (001) surfaces for the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite lattice structure, as shown in Figure [1.](#page-2-0) Replacing the Ba atoms on a BaO-terminated surface lattice structure, as shown in Figure 1. Replacing the Ba atoms on a BaO-terminated surface results in the doping atom formally entering the  $Rh_{Ba}^{2+}$  state. Experimentally,  $Rh^{3+}$  and  $Rh^{4+}$  ions have been detected when BaTiO<sub>3</sub> is doped [\[32\]](#page-14-6), so neutral OH groups were added to the surface to change  $Rh_{Ba}^{2+}$  into  $Rh_{Ba}^{3+}$ . The present study focuses on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -terminated surface because it has recently been shown that the BaO-terminated surface is also unstable under o[pera](#page-13-18)ting conditions [23].

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. (a) TiO<sub>2</sub>- and (b) BaO-terminated (001) surfaces of tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub>.

tions between the slab and its periodic images.

## *2.2. Thermodynamic Description 2.2. Thermodynamic Description*

Under the standard conditions (T = 298 K, p = 1 bar, pH = 0), the equilibrium thermodynamic potential for water oxidation required to produce oxygen  $(H_2O \rightarrow 1/2O_2 +$ <br> $H_1H_2$ ,  $H_2=1.22$  M as PHE (the reference algebra de is further anxitted for hyperita). In 4H+ + 4e−) is 1.23 V vs RHE (the reference electrode is further omitted for brevity). In practice, a potential above 1.23 V is required for this reaction. For heterogeneous catalysts, practice, a potential above 1.23 V is required for this reaction. For heterogeneous cata-this additional potential is referred to as the overpotential η.  $4H^+ + 4e^-$ ) is 1.23 V vs RHE (the reference electrode is further omitted for brevity). In

The catalytic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) via water oxidation is divided into four fundamental reaction steps, wherein each step entails the exchange of an electron–proton pair (where \* denotes the adsorption site of the catalyst) [\[33](#page-14-7)[,34\]](#page-14-8):

$$
2H_2O + * \rightleftharpoons OH^* + H_2O + H^+ + e^-
$$
 (1a)

$$
OH^* + H_2O \rightleftharpoons O^* + H_2O + H^+ + e^-
$$
 (1b)

$$
O^* + H_2O \rightleftharpoons OOH^* + H^+ + e^-
$$
 (1c)

$$
OOH^* \rightleftharpoons * + O_2 + H^+ + e^-
$$
\n(1d)

O∗ + HଶO ⇌ OOH<sup>∗</sup> + Hା + eି (1c) energy  $\Delta G$  of the charge transfer reaction  $H^* \rightleftharpoons$  \* +  $H^*$  +  $e^-$  under standard ambient energy for steps  $\Delta G_1$ ,  $\Delta G_2$ ,  $\Delta G_3$ , and  $\Delta G_4$  in Equation (1) can be expressed as Using the normal (computational) hydrogen electrode approach, the reaction free conditions is equal to the  $\Delta G$  of the H<sup>\*</sup>  $\rightleftharpoons$  <sup>\*</sup> + 1/2H<sub>2</sub> reaction. The reactions' Gibbs free

$$
\Delta G_1 = \Delta G_{OH} - eU + \Delta G_{H+} (pH)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta G_2 = \Delta G_O - \Delta G_{OH} - eU + \Delta G_{H+} (pH)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta G_3 = \Delta G_{OOH} - \Delta G_O - eU + \Delta G_{H+} (pH)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta G_4 = 4.92[eV] - \Delta G_{OOH} - eU + \Delta G_{H+} (pH)
$$
\n(2)

where *U* is the potential measured against a normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) under  $\Delta G_{\text{H+}}(\text{pH}) = -k_B T \ln(10) \times \text{pH}$ . The Gibbs pH is represented by the Nernst equation as  $\Delta G_{\text{H+}}(\text{pH}) = -k_B T \ln(10) \times \text{pH}$ . The Gibbs free energy differences in Equation (2) include zero-point energy (ZPE) and enthropy standard conditions. The free energy change of the protons relative to the NHE at non-zero corrections according to  $\Delta G_i = \Delta E_i - T \Delta S_i + \Delta ZPE_i - eU$ . Entropic contributions under standard conditions were taken from the CRC Handbook [\[35\]](#page-14-9). The Supporting Information for Ref. [\[34\]](#page-14-8) also includes these values. Energy differences ∆*E*<sup>i</sup> calculated relative to H2O and  $H_2$  (at  $U = 0$  and  $pH = 0$ ) are approximated as follows:

$$
\Delta E_{\text{OH}} = E(\text{OH}^*) - E(*) - \left[E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - \frac{1}{2}E(\text{H}_2)\right] \n\Delta E_{\text{O}} = E(\text{O}^*) - E(*) - \left[E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - E(\text{H}_2)\right] \n\Delta E_{\text{OOH}} = E(\text{OOH}^*) - E(*) - \left[2E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - \frac{3}{2}E(\text{H}_2)\right]
$$
\n(3)

The theoretical overpotential can then be readily defined as

$$
\eta = \max[\Delta G_i]/e - 1.23 \text{ (V)}\tag{4}
$$

The overpotential represented by Equation (4) is simply a thermodynamic quantity. Due to the lack of activation barriers, experimentally determined overpotential values cannot be directly compared with theoretical ones. In addition, experiments are usually carried out using electrodes containing nanoparticles of the used material, whose active surface's exact value is difficult to determine.

#### **3. Results and Discussion**

#### *3.1. Effect of Doping on Ground-State Electronic Properties*

*Geometry modification*. The computed lattice parameters for the bulk tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> are  $a_0 = 4.0381$  Å and  $c_0 = 4.0999$  Å. Several of the experimental data that are accessible are comparable to our findings:  $a_0$  falls within the range of 3.9860 Å to 3.9905 Å, and  $c_0$  spans from 4.0170 Å to 4.0412 Å [\[36–](#page-14-10)[40\]](#page-14-11).

The TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminanted surface replacement of  $Ti^{4+}$  with Rh<sup>4+</sup> leads to slight distortion of the lattice, as shown in Figure  $2a-c$  $2a-c$ . Each surface  $Ti^{4+}$  ion is surrounded by four neighboring surface oxygen ions (O1) and one nearest-subsurface oxygen (O2). All  $Ti<sup>4+</sup>-O1$  distances are the same and are 2.2027 Å, while the  $Ti<sup>4+</sup>-O2$  bond lengths are 1.9086 Å. After the Ti<sup>4+</sup> $\rightarrow$ Rh<sup>4+</sup> substitution, the Rh<sub>Ti</sub><sup>4+</sup>-O1 bond lengths are 2.1046 Å, and the  $Rh_{Ti}^{4+}$ -O2 distance is 2.3089 Å. Substitution energy,  $Ti^{4+}{\rightarrow}Rh^{4+}$ , is calculated as follows:

$$
E_{\text{def}} = (E(\text{Rh-doped}) + E(\text{Ti}) - E(\text{undoped}) - E(\text{Rh}))/2,
$$

where *E*(undoped) and *E*(Rh-doped) are the calculated energies of the pristine and doped slabs, and *E*(Ti) and *E*(Rh) are the energies per atom for metals *hcp*-Ti and *bcc*-Rh. The calculations yield the value Edef = 7.212 eV per Rh atom. This value is typical for this type of substitution. Thus, the previously calculated value of the  $Ti^{4+} \rightarrow Ru^{4+}$  substitution energy is 6.424 eV per Ru atom [\[41\]](#page-14-12).

In the case of  $Ba^{2+} \rightarrow Rh^{3+} + OH^-$  substitution, a much stronger distortion of the surface structure occurs. After geometry optimization,  $Rh_{Ba}^{3+}$  ions are displaced, moving from the surface layer deep in the slab to the subsurface layer, forming bonds with oxygen ions in this layer (Figure [2d](#page-4-0)–f). In this case, in the next atomic layer under the  $Rh^{3+}$  ion,  $Ba^{2+}$  is present. This finding shows that even if there were a BaO-terminated surface, the doping ion  $Rh_{Ba}^{3+}$  would not be on the surface layer. This would make this site less likely to be able to catalyze water-splitting reactions.

*Electronic density of states*. The HSE06-calculated electronic structures of the doped and undoped models are schematically summarized in Figure [3.](#page-5-0) Figure [3](#page-5-0) presents the total (TDOS) and partial densities of states of the bare and doped  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ - and BaOterminated surfaces. For both bare surfaces, the O-2p states predominately form a valence band, whereas the Ti-4d states form the conduction band minimum. The O- $2p\rightarrow Ti-3d$  transitions thus determine optical absorption for undoped BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. The calculated band gaps for the undoped models are 2.8 eV and 3.0 eV for the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ - and BaO-terminated surfaces, respectively. The different stoichiometries of the studied models account for this variation in the calculated bandgap values. However, the bandgap values obtained from the DOS calculations do not coincide with the results of

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

the optical spectra calculations, which will be shown below when analyzing the optical absorption spectra.

Figure 2. (Left): Top view of the outermost layer of TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated (a) undoped and (b) Rh-doped surfaces. The numbers indicate the distance  $(\mathring{A})$  between the (a) Ti and (b) Rh atoms and the nearest surface oxygen atoms (O1). (c) Side view of a doped TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface (Ba ions omitted); the numbers indicate the interatomic distance between the metal atoms (Ti: black, Rh: pink) and subsurface oxygen (O2). (Right): Top view of the two upper layers of BaO-terminated (d) undoped (**e**) Rh-doped surfaces. Side view of a doped BaO-terminated surface (**f**). and (**e**) Rh-doped surfaces. Side view of a doped BaO-terminated surface (**f**).

Doping the TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface results in additional levels due to the Rh-4*d* states appearing in the band gap (rigure 3b). The Kit Ton also changes the electronic states of the oxygen atoms that are closest to it. This causes the O-2*p* peaks to appear in the of the bay gen atoms that are effected to it. This effects are  $\sigma_{\text{2p}}$  peaks to appear in the calculated DOS near the valence band maximum. This effect also results in an additional BaO-terminated surfaces. For both bare surfaces, the O-2p states predominately form a reduction in the band gap. When doping a BaO-terminated surface, in addition to the Rh-4*d* states in the bandgap, the Ti-3*d* states appear near the minimum of the conduction band; titanium ions close to the Rh ion in the subsurface layer give rise to these states. As Rh<sup>4+</sup> shifts from the surface to the layer below, it breaks the bonds between the dopant and the surface oxygen ions. This creates more O-2*p*-induced peaks in the DOS near the top of the valence band (Figure 3d). appearing in the band gap (Figure [3b](#page-5-0)). The  $Rh^{4+}$  ion also changes the electronic states

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3. Total and partial densities of states for bare and doped TiO<sub>2</sub>- and BaO-terminated surfaces. Top: (a) undoped TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface; (b) Rh-doped TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface. The contribution of the surface nearest to the oxygen atoms of Rh, O(Rh), is highlighted. Bottom: (c) undoped BaO-terminated surface; (d) Rh-doped BaO-terminated surface. *E*<sub>F</sub>: Fermi energy.

## *3.2. Optical Absorption 3.2. Optical Absorption*

The effect of doping on optical absorption is shown in Figure 4. Both dry and wet The effect of doping on optical absorption is shown in Figure [4.](#page-6-0) Both dry and wet surfaces are considered. The presence of  $Rh^{4+}$  ions (Figure [4a](#page-6-0)) on the  $TiO_2$ -terminated surface substantially changes the optical absorption spectrum due to the DOS changes the optical absorption spectrum due to the DOS changes discussed above. Although the DOS calculations for an undoped surface yield a band gap gap of 2.8 eV, the optical absorption threshold is 3.35 eV (370 nm). This difference exists because for optical transitions of the O-2*p*→Ti-3*d* type in the energy range of 2.8–3.1 eV, the oscillator strengths calculated using Equation (8) are equal to zero or assume negligibly small values. As a result, the optical absorption threshold value for the undoped structure is in good agreement with experimental data [32]. The spin-down O-2 $p\rightarrow$ Rh-4*d* transitions on a Rh-doped surface absorb light in the long-wavelength range. In the short-wavelength range, optical absorption occurs due to the O-2 $p \rightarrow$ Ti-3*d* transitions. The optical absorption peak at 900 nm is suppressed in the aqueous environment and the absorption at 450 nm is significantly reduced. In this case, optical absorption increases in the 500–550 nm range. A comparison of the calculated data and the experimental results obtained after the 2 mol% doping of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> is presented [\[42\]](#page-14-13). The agreement between the theoretical and experimental results can be considered good since modeling shows that in the case of  $t_{\text{f}}$  frequencies of electromagnetic radiation. Up to this stage, our model does not consider the role that  $Rh^{4+}$  ions inside the slab might play in optical absorption. In this case, these ions range of  $\mathbf{r}$  is a matter of  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r$ would not be on the sample's surface, and aqueous media would not affect their electronic discussed above. Although the DOS calculations for an undoped surface yield a band replacing the surface  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$  ion with  $\text{Rh}_{\text{Ti}}^{4+}$ , optical absorption occurs in a wide range of

states. Below, we present an analysis and its results for the situation when the Rh ions reside inside the slab.  $\Gamma$  optical absorption threshold value for the  $B$ a $\Gamma$ 

The optical absorption threshold value for the BaO-terminated surface is the same as that found by directly estimating the bandgap value from the DOS calculation and amounts to 415 nm (2.99 eV). The optical absorption at longer wavelengths is also due to the O-2*p*→Rh-4*d* and O-2*p*→Ti-3*d* transitions. In this case, in contrast to the  $T_{10}$ -terminated surface, in the 400–520 nm wavelength range (2.4–3.1 eV), there is a contribution from the  $Rh-4d \rightarrow Ti-3d$  transitions. This finding agrees with experimental data [\[32\]](#page-14-6). The transitions from the electronic transitions from the electronic transitions from the electronic transitions from the electronic transitions fr discussed here suggest that the electronic transitions from the  $Rh^{3+}$  ions to the conduction band are possible, even though  $Rh^{4+}$  usually plays the role of a trapping center [\[43\]](#page-14-14). I he optical absorption threshold value for the BaO-terminated surface is the same as

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

*Molecules* **2024**, *29*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 7 of 15

Figure 4. Optical absorption of undoped and Rh-doped (a) TiO<sub>2</sub>- and (b) BaO-terminated surfaces. Black and blue lines correspond to dry and wet surfaces, respectively. The solid lines illustrate total Black and blue lines correspond to dry and wet surfaces, respectively. The solid lines illustrate total optical absorption, while dashed and dotted lines correspond to the contributions of spin-up (UP) optical absorption, while dashed and dotted lines correspond to the contributions of spin-up (UP) and spin-down (DW) electronic states. Orange lines refer to experimental data adapted from Ref. [32]. and spin-down (DW) electronic states. Orange lines refer to experimental data adapted from Ref. [\[32\]](#page-14-6).

Since the BaO-terminated surface was probably unstable but we knew that the  $Rh^{3+}$ ions help with optical absorption, we also looked at a model where the Rh ions were put ions help with optical absorption, we also looked at a model where the Rh ions were put inside the BaO-terminated slab instead of the slab surface. To ensure the  $Rh^{3+}$  oxidation state was obtained, neutral OH groups were added to the surface. state was obtained, neutral OH groups were added to the surface.

The results of geometry optimization, DOS, and optical absorption calculations are The results of geometry optimization, DOS, and optical absorption calculations are presented in Figure 5. Figure 5a shows how the atomic structure changes when rhodium presented in Figure [5.](#page-7-0) Figure [5a](#page-7-0) shows how the atomic structure changes when rhodium is added after the structure's geometry has been optimized. As in the case of the is added after the structure's geometry has been optimized. As in the case of the BaO-terminated surface ([Fig](#page-4-0)ure 2e,f), the  $Rh_{Ba}^{3+}$  ion is shifted towards the TiO<sub>2</sub> plane. Unlike in the previous case, the displacement occurs in a direction parallel to the surface plane since the lattice parameters in this direction are lower than those perpendicular to the direction. The DOS a[na](#page-7-0)lysis (Figure 5b) shows that the nature of the bottom of the conduction band is due to the Rh-4*d* and Ti-3*d* levels. The Ti-3*d* states are localized on Ti atoms located near Rh. So, o[pti](#page-7-0)cal absorption (Figure 5c) begins at 550 nm (2.25 eV) and is caused by transitions from O-2p to Rh-4d for the spin-down states. Furthermore, at wavelengths of approximately 500 nm (2.5 eV) and shorter, Rh- $4d \rightarrow Ti$ -3*d* transitions are possible. Thus, the approximately 500 nm (2.5 eV) and shorter, Rh-4*d* $\rightarrow$ Ti-3*d* transitions are possible. Thus, the experimentally observed Rh-4*d* $\rightarrow$ Ti-3*d* transitions [32] are most likely caused by Rh<sup>3+</sup> ions inside the sample.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 5. (a) Change in the arrangement of ions in the slab after Ba was replaced with Rh; (b) electronic DOS for relaxed slab; (**c**) optical absorption spectrum for the model investigated. Dashed and dotted lines proposent optical absorption by spin-up and spin-down states. The solid line illustrates total absorption represent optical absorption by spin-up and spin-down states. The solid line illustrates total absorption.

## *3.3. OER over Pristine and Rh-Modified BaTiO<sup>3</sup>*

The above results indicate that Rh doping dramatically improves the ability of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> to absorb sunlight in the visible range. The TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface is also more stable regarding the Ti<sup>4+</sup> $\rightarrow$ Rh<sup>4+</sup> change, while the Ba-terminated surface's Rh<sup>3+</sup> ion position is less stable. It was previously shown that the TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface is stable under operating conditions. In contrast, the BaO-terminated surface is unstable concerning Ba dissolution at a wide range of pH values and potentials  $[32]$ . Based on these results, we evaluated the reaction-free energy profile for the OER on the TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, as described in the Models Section 2.

Figure 6 displays the free energies of water oxidation reactions on a pure and Rhmodified TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated BaTiO<sub>3</sub> surface at zero potential and equilibrium potential of 1.23 V vs RHE. (Equation (1)). The oxidation reaction of a single water molecule is considered both on a dry surface and considering the influence of the aqueous environment. On a bare TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface, an overpotential of 1.18 V was found when the surface was dry. This value is close to the earlier-reported one calculated on the same surface, equal to 1.22 V [\[23\]](#page-13-18). Due to the aqueous environment, this value reduced to 1.08 V. For the  $R$ h-modified surface, the overpotential values were  $0.45$  and  $0.23$  V for dry and wet surfaces, respectively, which implies that Rh doping improves catalytic activity. The obtained values are close to those for  $NiO<sub>x</sub>$  films, in which cerium was used as a dopant and gold was employed as a metal support [\[44\]](#page-14-15).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 6. Standard free energy diagram for the OER at zero potential (U = 0, dotted lines) and equilibrium potential for oxygen evolution ( $U = 1.23$  V, solid lines) at pH = 0 and T = 298 K. Black and blue lines show  $\frac{1}{2}$  data for dry and wet surfaces, respectively. Dashed lines correspond to the ideal catalyst data for dry and wet surfaces, respectively. Dashed lines correspond to the ideal catalyst.

is determined by the energies of the interaction of intermediate reaction products with the surface (Equation (1)), it is necessary to analyze the oxidation states of active sites during the water-splitting process. The results regarding the Bader analysis and the spin states of active sites on the surface of the catalyst and the intermediate reaction products are given in Table [1.](#page-8-1) The number of active sites on the surface also includes the nearest neighboring ions, O1 and O2, since their charges and spin states change on the doped surface during<br>the reactions represented by Equation (1)  $\frac{1}{2}$  2.15 0 2.25 0 2 Since the efficiency of the photocatalyst in the process of the water oxidation reaction the reactions represented by Equation (1).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Table 1. TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surface. Calculated Bader charges q (in |e|) and local magnetic moments (in  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>) for the Ti (undoped surface) and Rh (doped surface) empty sites and as well as sites occupied  $OH.$ by O, OH, and OOH.



In the case of an unmodified  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -terminated surface, the charge and spin states of the catalyst ions change slightly during the oxidation of water, both in the case of dry and wet surfaces. The active site of a titanium ion is always in the 4+ oxidation state, and its nearest neighbors are in the  $O^{2-}$  state. An aqueous environment noticeably affects only the intermediate reaction product O\*, reflected in a decrease in overpotential at this reaction step. In a sense, the electronic  $Ti^{4+}$  ion is too rigid in terms of its properties and cannot adjust its electronic structure to optimize the water-splitting process. Surface modification with Rh solves this problem.

When replacing the surface titanium ion with rhodium, the dopant also affects its nearest neighboring O1 and O2 ions. The data in Table [1](#page-8-1) show that as the absolute value of the Bader charge on the O1 and O2 ions decreases, a non-zero magnetic moment also appears on these ions. This indicates a charge transfer from the O1 and O2 ions to the dopant. The spin state of the Rh ion also shows that it is not in the 4+ oxidation state since in this latter case its formal magnetic moment is 1  $\mu_{\rm B}$  in the low-spin state (4d<sup>5</sup>). The present calculations suggested a value of 1.59  $\mu$ B for the spin magnetic moment of Rh, which means Rh is in the 3+ oxidation state; i.e., the formal magnetic moment is 2  $\mu_B$  in the intermediate spin state. This deviation from the formal value is associated with the charge transfer from O1 and O2 to the doping cation. During the oxidation of water, the magnetic moment of Rh is 1.04 (O\*) and decreases to 0.85 (OH\*) and 0.75 (OOH\*)  $\mu_B$ . This can be interpreted as the oxidation state of Rh undergoing a change from  $3+$  (O\*) to  $4+$  (OH\* and OOH\*).

Because of the water oxidation reaction, the oxidation state and spin magnetic moment of the ions on the catalyst surface change, and the reaction intermediates change with them. The ability of Rh and the surrounding ions on the surface to change their electronic properties leads to more efficient water oxidation. The influence of the aqueous environment significantly affects the behavior of OH\* species, which, in turn, leads to a decrease in the overpotential.

Figure [7](#page-10-0) shows how the electronic charge density redistributes between the dry TiO2-terminated surface and the reaction intermediates. The charge transfer ∆Q can be calculated using the formula given below:

$$
\Delta Q = Q_{SA} - Q_S - Q_A,\tag{5}
$$

Here, *Q*SA, *Q*S, and *Q*<sup>A</sup> represent the spatial charge density distributions for systems wherein the intermediate reaction products are adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst, the bare catalyst surface, and the adsorbed species treated separately from the catalyst, respectively. The oxygen atoms of the adsorbed species are mainly responsible for the charge transfer. These findings are summarized in Table [1.](#page-8-1)

We compared the geometry of optimized undoped and doped TiO2-terminated catalyst surfaces with adsorbed reaction intermediates. Table [2](#page-10-1) summarizes the distances between the adsorbents and the surface. In all cases, doping decreases the distance between the adsorbent and the catalyst surface, except for OOH species adsorption. There is a significant difference between the undoped and doped surfaces in regard to the orientation of the adsorbed OH group. In the case of adsorption on an undoped surface, the angle TiÔH = 128°, while in the case of a doped surface, the OH group is directed perpendicular to the surface, and  $Rh\hat{O}H = 180^\circ$ .

To illustrate the effect of an aqueous environment, we calculated the spatial distribution of charge density difference between wet and dry TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated surfaces; this distribution was calculated as follows:

$$
\Delta Q = Q_{wet} - Q_{dry} \tag{6}
$$

Figure [8](#page-11-0) illustrates the obtained results. The aqueous environment leads to a transfer of electron density from surface oxygen ions to titanium ions. In an aqueous environment, the doped structure experiences a decrease in the electron density on Rh.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Equation (5) calculates the charge transfer between the TiO<sub>2</sub>-termiated catalyst surface and  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ the intermediate reaction products. A side view of the surface of the top two layers is presented. OH  $\,$ adsorbed on (a) undoped and (b) Rh-doped surfaces; O adsorbed on (c) undoped and (d) Rh-doped (**d**) Rh-doped surfaces; and HOO adsorbed on (**e**) undoped and (**f**) Rh-doped surfaces. The yellow surfaces; and HOO adsorbed on (**e**) undoped and (**f**) Rh-doped surfaces. The yellow and blue clouds indicate the isocontours of positive and negative values of the electron charge density, respectively.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>



Although the predicted overpotential values are small, in practice, implementing an electrode with such indicators will take much work. Here, we consider the ideal case of doping wherein all Rh ions are located on the surface of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> at 1.8 at.% doping. In practice, a significant portion of the doping atoms will occupy sites inside the nanoparticles.

When the degree of doping is raised to increase the concentration of surface Rh ions, the hexagonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> phase forms [\[32\]](#page-14-6). The catalytic properties of the hexagonal phase still need to be studied.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 8. Equation (6) calculates the charge transfer between wet and dry TiO<sub>2</sub>-termiated catalyst surfaces. Top view of the upper layer of the (a) undoped and (b) Rh-doped surfaces; side view of the two upper layers of the (**c**) undoped and (**d**) Rh-doped surfaces. The yellow and blue clouds indicate indicate the isocontours of positive and negative values of the electron charge density, respectively. the isocontours of positive and negative values of the electron charge density, respectively.

Optimizing catalyst activity hinges on the discovery of a catalyst with a significantly larger surface area and a higher concentration of dopant atoms. These things are very important for making the tetragonal phase of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> doped with Rh function as efficiently as possible. Ref. [32] serves as a valuable guide for determining the optimal doping level. The results show that adding 8 mol% Rh changes 85% of the tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> phase into a hexagonal structure. When synthesizing BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystals with a Rh content below the specified level, grinding the crystals becomes necessary. This process enhances the working surface area of the catalyst, thereby increasing the likelihood of detecting Rh atoms on the surfaces of the resulting nanoparticles. By following this procedure, we can secure the most efficient catalyst based on BaTiO<sub>3</sub> doped with Rh.

## as possible. Ref. [32] serves as a value of determining the optimal doping level. The optimal doping level. The results show that adding 8 mol% Rh changes 85% of the tetragonal BaTiO3 phase into **4. Computational Details**

All the calculations were performed with the ab initio plane wave computer code VASP  $[45,46]$  $[45,46]$  using the projector-augmented plane wave (PAW) formalism  $[47]$ . Optimization of the geometry of the studied models and calculation of the thermodynamics of the water-splitting reaction were carried out using the GGA-PBE (Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof) exchange correlation functional [\[48\]](#page-14-19). The on-site Coulomb correlation of *d*-electrons was with a  $U_{\text{eff}}$  =  $U_c$  − *J* value of 2.6 eV for titanium [\[50\]](#page-14-21). We must admit, on the basis of our test calculations, that the application of the  $U_{\text{eff}}$ -parameter to Ti/Rh does not change the main conclusions/results regarding the surface free energy diagrams. Contrarily, the calculations<br>
in the calculations of optical properties require accurate electronic band structures. We therefore applied the taken into account by employing Hubbard corrections in the Dudarev parametrization [\[49\]](#page-14-20)

hybrid HSE06 density functional to calculate the electronic density of states and optical absorption from the DFT+U optimized charge density [\[51\]](#page-14-22). The optical properties were analyzed based on the transition dipole moment matrix elements:

$$
D_{\sigma,ij} = e \left\langle \psi_{\sigma,i}^{KS} \middle| r \middle| \psi_{\sigma,j}^{KS} \right\rangle \tag{7}
$$

for transitions between the initial state ( $\sigma$ ,i) and final state ( $\sigma$ ,j) calculated on the basis of Kohn–Sham orbitals  $\psi_{\sigma,i}^{KS}$ , where σ is a spin index, i(j) labels orbitals, and *e* is an elementary charge. The transition dipole moment was used for calculating oscillator strength:

$$
f_{\sigma,ij} = \frac{4\pi m\nu_{\sigma,ij}}{3e^2\hbar} |D_{\sigma,ij}|^2,
$$
\n(8)

where *m* and *h* are the electron mass and Planck constant, respectively, and abd  $v_{\sigma,ij}$  is the frequency of transition between the *i*th and *j*th states. Using the oscillator strengths and assuming a lack of spin–orbit coupling, the absorption spectra can then be determined as follows:  $\alpha(\nu) = \alpha_{\alpha}(\nu) + \alpha_{\beta}(\nu)$ , where  $\alpha_{\sigma}(\nu) = \sum_{ij} f_{\sigma,ij} \delta(\nu - v_{\sigma,ij}).$ 

The thermodynamic corrections for the solvation effect were calculated using VASPsol [\[52\]](#page-14-23), allowing us to consider surface wetting through the water continuum model and distinguish between dry and wet conditions. If the continuum model applied, the wet conditions were stated. The Monkhorst–Pack grid-sampling mesh used for the bulk calculations had dimensions of  $2 \times 2 \times 2$ , and that for the slab calculations had dimensions of  $2 \times 2 \times 1$ , with a cutoff energy value of 520 eV. The charge distribution on the ions was studied using Bader topological analysis [\[42\]](#page-14-13). All calculations were carried out while taking spin polarization into account, except in the case of bare undoped slabs. It has been shown that it is important to consider spin polarized electronic structures since adsorbed species have a spin moment [\[53\]](#page-14-24).

## **5. Conclusions**

The viability of the Rh-modified TiO<sub>2</sub>-terminated BaTiO<sub>3</sub> (001) surface for developing efficient water oxidation catalysts to be used as photoanodes in PEC systems was examined using first-principles calculations. According to our results, Rh doping has a double effect on the properties of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. On the one hand, doping causes the material under study to absorb sunlight in almost the entire visible range. On the other hand, the surface Rh ion acts as an excellent catalytic center, significantly lowering the overpotential values of the electrochemical reaction. It has been shown that considering the aqueous environment influences both effects.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, D.G., A.U.A., E.A.K. and Y.A.M.; methodology, A.U.A. and F.U.A.; software, T.M.I. and D.G.; validation, M.S., Y.A.M. and Z.Y.Z.; formal analysis, A.U.A. and T.M.I.; investigation, Z.Y.Z., Y.A.M., A.U.A. and Y.A.M.; resources, Y.A.M., T.M.I. and E.A.K.; writing—original draft preparation, T.M.I.; writing—review and editing, Y.A.M. and E.A.K.; visualization, A.U.A.; supervision, T.M.I.; project administration, F.U.A.; funding acquisition, T.M.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan: AP14869492 "Development of nanocrystalline metal oxide catalysts for hydrogen production". EK thanks M-Era.NET project HetCat. The calculations were partly performed at the High-Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS) within the project DEFTD 12939. YM and DG thank the Latvian Council of Science, project No. lzp-2021/1-0203. The work by T.M.I. was performed under the state assignment of GEOKHI RAS. T.I. also thanks the Center for Computational Materials Science (IMR, Tohoku University) for providing access to the supercomputing system used to perform the simulations. The Institute of Solid-State Physics, University of Latvia (Latvia), as a Center of Excellence, has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Program H2020-WIDESPREAD-01-2016-2017-Teaming Phase2 under grant agreement No. 739508, project  $\text{CAMART}^2$ .

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** There are no conflicts to declare.

## **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37–38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/238037a0) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12635268)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Kudo, A.; Miseki, Y. Heterogeneous photocatalyst materials for water splitting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 253–278. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/B800489G) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19088977)
- 3. Suntivich, J.; May, K.J.; Gasteiger, H.A.; Goodenough, J.B.; Shao-Horn, Y. A perovskite oxide optimized for oxygen evolution catalysis from molecular orbital principles. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 1383–1385. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212858)
- 4. Castelli, I.E.; Landis, D.D.; Thygesen, K.S.; Dahl, S.; Chorkendorff, I.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Jacobsen, K.W. New cubic perovskites for one-and two-photon water splitting using the computational materials repository. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 9034–9043. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee22341d)
- 5. Luo, J.; Im, J.-H.; Mayer, M.T.; Schreier, M.; Nazeeruddin, M.K.; Park, N.-G.; Tilley, S.D.; Fan, H.J.; Grätzel, M. Water photolysis at 12.3% efficiency via perovskite photovoltaics and Earth-abundant catalysts. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 1593–1596. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258307) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25258076)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>6. Xu, X.; Wang, W.; Zhou, W.; Shao, Z. Recent Advances in Novel Nanostructuring Methods of Perovskite Electrocatalysts for Energy-Related Applications. *Small Methods* **2018**, *2*, 1800071. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.201800071)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>7. Royer, S.; Duprez, D.; Can, F.; Courtois, X.; Batiot-Dupeyrat, C.; Laassiri, S.; Alamdari, H. Perovskites as substitutes of noble metals for heterogeneous catalysis: Dream or reality. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 10292–10368. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500032a) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25253387)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>8. Fan, Z.; Sun, K.; Wang, J. Perovskites for photovoltaics: A combined review of organic–inorganic halide perovskites and ferroelectric oxide perovskites. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 18809–18828. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA04235F)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>9. Mefford, J.T.; Rong, X.; Abakumov, A.M.; Hardin, W.G.; Dai, S.; Kolpak, A.M.; Johnston, K.P.; Stevenson, K.J. Water electrolysis on La1−xSrxCoO3−<sup>δ</sup> perovskite electrocatalysts. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11053. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11053) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27006166)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>10. Rong, X.; Parolin, J.; Kolpak, A.M. A fundamental relationship between reaction mechanism and stability in metal oxide catalysts for oxygen evolution. *Acs Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 1153–1158. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b02432)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>11. Tang, J.; Xu, X.; Tang, T.; Zhong, Y.; Shao, Z. Perovskite-Based Electrocatalysts for Cost-Effective Ultrahigh-Current-Density Water Splitting in Anion Exchange Membrane Electrolyzer Cell. *Small Methods* **2022**, *6*, 2201099. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.202201099) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36251791)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>12. Xu, X.; Pan, Y.; Zhong, Y.; Ran, R.; Shao, Z. Ruddlesden–Popper perovskites in electrocatalysis. *Mater. Horiz.* **2020**, *7*, 2519–2565. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0MH00477D)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>13. Buscaglia, V.; Buscaglia, M.T.; Canu, G. BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-based ceramics: Fundamentals, properties and applications. *Encycl. Mater. Tech. Ceram. Glas.* **2021**, *3*, 311–344.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>14. Wemple, S. Polarization Fluctuations and the Optical-Absorption Edge in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* **1970**, 2, 2679. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.2.2679)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>15. Kennedy, J.H.; Frese, K.W. Photo-oxidation of water at barium titanate electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1976**, *123*, 1683. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2132667)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>16. Nasby, R.; Quinn, R.K. Photoassisted electrolysis of water using a BaTiO<sub>3</sub> electrode. Mater. Res. Bull. 1976, 11, 985–992. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(76)90174-4)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>17. Hayakawa, T.; Suzuki, S.; Nakamura, J.; Uchijima, T.; Hamakawa, S.; Suzuki, K.; Shishido, T.; Takehira, K. CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of CH4 over Ni/perovskite catalysts prepared by solid phase crystallization method. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **1999**, *183*, 273–285. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(99)00071-X)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>18. Ko, S.; Tang, X.; Gao, F.; Wang, C.; Liu, H.; Liu, Y. Selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH3 on Mn, Co-BTC-derived catalysts: Influence of thermal treatment temperature. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2022**, *307*, 122843. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2021.122843)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>19. Srilakshmi, C.; Saraf, R.; Prashanth, V.; Rao, G.M.; Shivakumara, C. Structure and catalytic activity of Cr-doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub> nanocatalysts synthesized by conventional oxalate and microwave assisted hydrothermal methods. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 4795–4805. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b00240)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>20. Upadhyay, S.; Shrivastava, J.; Solanki, A.; Choudhary, S.; Sharma, V.; Kumar, P.; Singh, N.; Satsangi, V.R.; Shrivastav, R.; Waghmare, U.V. Enhanced photoelectrochemical response of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> with Fe doping: Experiments and first-principles analysis. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 24373–24380. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp202863a)
- 21. Nageri, M.; Kumar, V. Manganese-doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub> nanotube arrays for enhanced visible light photocatalytic applications. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *213*, 400–405. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2018.04.003)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>22. Demircivi, P.; Simsek, E.B. Visible-light-enhanced photoactivity of perovskite-type W-doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub> photocatalyst for photodegradation of tetracycline. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *774*, 795–802. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.09.354)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>23. Artrith, N.; Sailuam, W.; Limpijumnong, S.; Kolpak, A.M. Reduced overpotentials for electrocatalytic water splitting over Fe- and Ni-modified BaTiO<sup>3</sup> . *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 29561–29570. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CP06031E) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27748475)
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>24. Xie, P.; Yang, F.; Li, R.; Ai, C.; Lin, C.; Lin, S. Improving hydrogen evolution activity of perovskite BaTiO<sub>3</sub> with Mo doping: Experiments and first-principles analysis. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2019**, *44*, 11695–11704. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.03.145)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>25. Tanwar, N.; Upadhyay, S.; Priya, R.; Pundir, S.; Sharma, P.; Pandey, O. Eu-doped BaTiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite as an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2023**, *317*, 123674. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2022.123674)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>26. Maeda, K. Rhodium-doped barium titanate perovskite as a stable p-type semiconductor photocatalyst for hydrogen evolution under visible light. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 2167–2173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/am405293e) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24410048)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>27. Konta, R.; Ishii, T.; Kato, H.; Kudo, A. Photocatalytic activities of noble metal ion doped SrTiO<sub>3</sub> under visible light irradiation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 8992–8995. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp049556p)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>28. Nishioka, S.; Maeda, K. Hydrothermal synthesis of rhodium-doped barium titanate nanocrystals for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 100123–100128. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA20044J)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>29. Bhat, D.K.; Bantawal, H.; Shenoy, U.S. Rhodium doping augments photocatalytic activity of barium titanate: Effect of electronic structure engineering. *Nanoscale Adv.* **2020**, *2*, 5688–5698. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NA00702A)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>30. Jain, A.; Ong, S.P.; Hautier, G.; Chen, W.; Richards, W.D.; Dacek, S.; Cholia, S.; Gunter, D.; Skinner, D.; Ceder, G. Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Mater.* **2013**, *1*, 011002. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812323)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>31. Eglitis, R.; Vanderbilt, D. Ab initio calculations of BaTiO<sup>3</sup> and PbTiO<sup>3</sup> (001) and (011) surface structures. *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, *76*, 155439. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.155439)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>32. Shi, K.; Zhang, B.; Liu, K.; Zhang, J.; Ma, G. Rhodium-Doped Barium Titanate Perovskite as a Stable p-Type Photocathode in Solar Water Splitting. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2023**, *15*, 47754–47763. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.3c09635) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37769117)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>33. Man, I.C.; Su, H.-Y.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; Hansen, H.A.; Martínez, J.I.; Inoglu, N.G.; Kitchin, J.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Nørskov, J.K.; Rossmeisl, J. Universality in oxygen evolution electrocatalysis on oxide surfaces. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1159–1165. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201000397)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>34. García-Mota, M.; Bajdich, M.; Viswanathan, V.; Vojvodic, A.; Bell, A.T.; Nørskov, J.K. Importance of correlation in determining electrocatalytic oxygen evolution activity on cobalt oxides. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 21077–21082. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp306303y)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>35. Haynes, W.M. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 93rd ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2012.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>36. Shirane, G.; Danner, H.; Pepinsky, R. Neutron Diffraction Study of Orthorhombic BaTi<sup>3</sup> . *Phys. Rev.* **1957**, *105*, 856–860. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.105.856)
- 37. Yasuda, N.; Murayama, H.; Fukuyama, Y.; Kim, J.; Kimura, S.; Toriumi, K.; Tanaka, Y.; Moritomo, Y.; Kuroiwa, Y.; Kato, K.; et al. X-ray diffractometry for the structure determination of a submicrometre single powder grain. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **2009**, *16*, 352–357. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1107/S090904950900675X)
- 38. Al-Shakarchi, E.K.; Mahmood, N.B. Three Techniques Used to Produce BaTiO<sub>3</sub> Fine Powder. *J. Mod. Phys.* 2011, 2, 9. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2011.211175)
- 39. Buttner, R.H.; Maslen, E.N. Structural parameters and electron difference density in BaTiO<sup>3</sup> . *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **1992**, *48*, 764–769. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1107/S010876819200510X)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>40. Xiao, C.J.; Jin, C.Q.; Wang, X.H. Crystal structure of dense nanocrystalline BaTiO<sup>3</sup> ceramics. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *111*, 209–212. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2008.01.020)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>41. Inerbaev, T.M.; Hoefelmeyer, J.D.; Kilin, D.S. Photoinduced Charge Transfer from Titania to Surface Doping Site. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 9673–9692. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp311076w)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>42. Bader, R.F.W. Atoms in Molecules. A Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1990.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>43. Iwashina, K.; Kudo, A. Rh-Doped SrTiO<sub>3</sub> Photocatalyst Electrode Showing Cathodic Photocurrent for Water Splitting under Visible-Light Irradiation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13272–13275. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja2050315) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21797261)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>44. Ng, J.W.D.; García-Melchor, M.; Bajdich, M.; Chakthranont, P.; Kirk, C.; Vojvodic, A.; Jaramillo, T.F. Gold-supported cerium-doped NiOx catalysts for water oxidation. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16053. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.53)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>45. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1999**, *59*, 1758. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758)
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>46. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9984901)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>47. Blöchl, P.E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50*, 17953. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9976227)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>48. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10062328)
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>49. Dudarev, S.L.; Botton, G.A.; Savrasov, S.Y.; Humphreys, C.J.; Sutton, A.P. Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *57*, 1505–1509. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1505)
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>50. Maldonado, F.; Jácome, S.; Stashans, A. Codoping of Ni and Fe in tetragonal BaTiO<sup>3</sup> . *Comput. Condens. Matter* **2017**, *13*, 49–54. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cocom.2017.09.002)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>51. Heyd, J.; Scuseria, G.E.; Ernzerhof, M. Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *118*, 8207–8215. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060)
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>52. Mathew, K.; Sundararaman, R.; Letchworth-Weaver, K.; Arias, T.A.; Hennig, R.G. Implicit solvation model for density-functional study of nanocrystal surfaces and reaction pathways. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*, 084106. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4865107)
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>53. Mom, R.V.; Cheng, J.; Koper, M.T.M.; Sprik, M. Modeling the Oxygen Evolution Reaction on Metal Oxides: The Infuence of Unrestricted DFT Calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 4095–4102. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp409373c)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.