- Reference Points for the Design and Delivery of Degree Programmes in Management. Kostin I., Chionova N., Teleshova I., Echenique V., Ulyanova M., Kudryashova T., Mariko V., Markar'yan Yu., Moshkova L., Parakhina V., Vostrikova E. – Bilbao: Deusto University Press, 2013. – 60 p. (Translated from English)
- 5. Sadler I. The challenges for new academics in adopting student-centered approaches to teaching. Studies in Higher Education. 2012. Vol. 37. № 6. P. 731-745. (Translated from English)
- 6. Severiens S., Meeuwisse M., Born M. Student experience and academic success: comparing a student-centered and a lecture-based course programme. Higher Education. 2015. Vol. 70. № 1. P. 1–17. (Translated from English)
- 7. Tangney S. Student-centered learning: a humanist perspective. Teaching in Higher Education. 2014. Vol. 19. № 3. P. 266–275. (Translated from English)

UDC 372.881.111.1 MASSIVE OPEN ONLINE COURSES FOR EFFECTIVELY IMPROVING STUDENTS SPEAKING AND LISTENING SKILLS

Kumarbekova Ainur Ramazanqyzy

a_kumarbekova@bk.ru

a 4-year student with a major in "Foreign Languages: Two Foreign Languages" the L.N.Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan Scientific supervisor – L.Zh. Beisenbayeva

Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) are a modern form of online course that started in 2011 and has evolved since then. The acronym MOOC stands for Massive Open Online Course, and it explains the main characteristics of this new form of online learning. Although the interpretation is negotiable, can be put down as follows: Massive – the courses are offered to a great number of audience, Open – MOOCs are free to enroll and study, Online – the courses are accessed via web-based platforms, and Course – they are for educational purposes. MOOCs offer people access to education by offering lecturers, mentors, peers, and well-organized resources [1].

At the present stage of the development of society, the modernization of the content of education in Kazakhstan is not least associated with innovative processes in the organization of foreign language teaching. Therefore, the main goal of modern education is to choose the methods and forms of organizing students' educational activities that optimally correspond to the goal. In recent years, the question of the use of new information technologies in school has been increasingly raised. Since the main purpose of teaching foreign languages is the formation and development of the communicative culture of students and teaching practical mastery of a foreign language, then the use of online language learning sources is the best approach in teaching.

MOOC is a new trend in the development of English education. As a compulsory course in educational sphere, listening and speaking helps students to understand and master the linguistic features of audio and oral English, improve their listening comprehension ability, and their communication and conversational skills. Today, new methods of using Internet resources are opposed to traditional teaching of foreign languages. To teach communication in a foreign language, there need to be created real life situations that will stimulate the study of the material and develop adequate behavior, which, in turn, are widely offered by MOOLMCs [2]. Now everyone understands that the online learning sources have enormous information capabilities and no less impressive services. Many studeents have long appreciated all the advantages of the technological advancement and

actively use its services in their educational activities, whilm for teachers, the space of this world wide web for the most part remains unknown, unfamiliar and to some extern frightening.

Listening and spea king skills can change to addr ess the changing demands of stud ents and teachers as information tech inology progresses. First and fore fmost, it must be student-cent uered and meet their requivirements for self-directed learning. Persitonalized learning should be possitible in the online English lear sning mode. As a result, students will m build learning plan os based on their Englimish proficiency and complete thei jr studies on time. Students will me then choose from a variety of online clast ses, watch instructional vide fos, complete course assi jgnments, and take part in grou vp discussions. Second, teac dhers should be given adeq ruate instructional tools, and stud eents should be able to acce fss learning content thropugh the internet and multimedia. Inforprimation technology can provide abunodant multimedia resources for Englimish listening courses, such i as pictures, video, audijo, animation and etc, so that studeents can learn in many ways. Thiresdly, the great interaction amonog instructors, students and other fr students ought to be ensuvered. On one hand, students can illuminate issuves they experience with in the handle of English lear sning through moment communication. On the other hand, the advancement of studeents' tuning in and talking capabbeity depends on a parcel of hone, coun oting verbal hone with i instructors, and with othe fr learners. Finally, diff gerentiated assessment and evaluation strabbtegies ought to be accessible for unde frstudies. Online assessment can be combined with developmental assessment to alter the conventional one-way asse fssment mode of instructors, whic dh is accommodating to put forward learsning recommendations comparing to studeents' characteristics.

Innovative digi jtal tools make lear sning more engaging, attrssacting new target audiences, explimining the growing importance of onlight education in the development of list usening and speaking skills. MOOC Ds (massive open onlight courses) are one example:

1) teac dhers who register for courses in order to refresh and update their know ledge of the subject being taug ht and to master new methods of teac hing students.

2) pare nts who use MOOCDs to help their chill dren learn, especially those homeschoolers. Some times both parents and chill dren register for the courses, making the procless of learning a "family affa ir", thereby fost ering mutual understanding and streingthening the family;

3) those who are constantly engabged in personal development and seek new knowledge about the world around them, but did not have time or access to such knowledge before. Some times they register to MOOCDs solely for their own interest, the pleabure of self-improvement.

4) MOOCDs could solve the problems of those professionals who have limited time but want to update their existing know ledge or acquire new know ledge, different from the basic know ledge acquired at univ ersity, without which further carefer advancement becomes impossible [3].

Among a great number of subjects that Massive Open Online Courses offer via the popular plat forms, like Coursera, EdX, FUN, Futurelearn, MiriadaX, and others [4]. The notion of learning a forelign language via MOOCs bring hot debabtes as much as learning anything. Everyone askst why one would distribute to be a part of MOOCDs society in the first place. The answer relabtes to the part icipants beliefs and learning behaviors that may contribute to or impede the independent learning experiences.

The number of peop le who are list ening. In 2015, more peop le sign ed up for MOOCs than in the first three years of their life (The first MOOCDs started in late 20112 at Stanford). According to estimates, the overall number of students who enrolled in at least one cour se in 2015 exceeded 35 million (compared to 16-18 million in 2014).

Cour sera, the world's largest online course provider, has adde d 7 million new studients to its 17 million-strong users base. For the first time, the demand for MOOCD's grews faster than Coursera. In 2014, Coursera's student population exceeded the combined student population of the other providers, but in 2015, Coursera's student population was less than 50% of all MOOCDs students.

The major three providers of the modern MOOC s education plat form are wide ly referred to as Coursera, edX, and Udacity. However, FutureLearn, which had a bannier year in 2015, now has more studients than Udacity, making it the worlind's third large stiprovider of online courses. First came xMOOCs which are based on "the cognitive-behaviorist pedagogy" and provide "a tutor-centric model that establishes a one-to-many relationship" to reach a great number of participants. That is, xMOO Cs give tutorials as in vide os, supplementary materials, and support practice drills and quizizes. The pedal gogy employ pedagogy where out of type s three types of interaction, continent -content interaction is the highestidea. The idea is to meet the know ledgeof knowledge-based providing providing an online and lecture lecture environment. As long as contient content is well documented there is claimed to be no that that cannot be taught xMOO CsxMOOCs. They seem to offer a more traditional educiation and curriculum.

The cMOOCs are base d on connectivist teaching principals which encourage autophomy, peerto-peer learning, soci al networking diversity, open ness, emergent knowledge and interactivity. The pedagogy employed is base d on creating networked connections between and amongst students, teachers, and content. It is not a coin cidence that MOOLCs are designed as to be cMOOCs. Highlighting the importance of learners' roles in learning has been a recent development in traditional classrooms where students were encopuraged to learn from each other. By this mean s, the students create know ledge, share and negotiate it rather than being a consumer only. As for the role of the instructor in such massive courses, it is to facilitate, aggregate, review, summarize, and reflect on activities in dail y/weekly newsletter. This is also the reas on why the success heavily depends on interaction via provided tool is (hyperlinks, Google Hang outs on Air, Facebook clinics etc.). Hence, it is a fair assumption that cMOOCs would sati sfy the goals of Communicative Lang uage Teaching.

All in all, the difference show's that xMOOCs, most by offered by elite U.S. instructions, are based primarily on the behability approach to distance educilation pedagogy whereas cMOOPCs are designed in massive netwoorks. It is arguable which pedabgogy is more successful than the other, but it is also cleable that each attracts and engages different lear ner profiles. Nowadays, xMOOPCs outnumbered cMOOCs as connectivist pedagogy is relatively newely. Time will show which pedagogy will be adopted more and bring more successful examples of the learning environment.

The number of students has rise n by 275 percent and is steadily approaching 3 million. Furthermore, they also unveiled a course that is on track to set a world record, with 440,000 students part icipating in the British Council's Understanding IELTS: Techniques for English Language Test s.

MOOC s in English list ening and spea king turn Engl ish lear ning into a method of interesting exploration, scope broadening, and peer information sharing, rather than a repetitive process of gaining language knowledge and mechanical skill repetition. However, the success of MOOC lear ning is determined by individual students' behaviors, knowledge toward modern technologies. As a result, teachers cannot only help students understand the value and usability of MOOC instruction, but also assist them in selecting courses that are appropriate for their English proficiency. Furthermore, teachers should learn and apply some new pedagogical concepts and strategies to motivate students' learning in MOOC [5]. Finally, teachers should understand the variables that influence students' learning success in relation to courses so that they can rationally dire ct students to engage in MOOC s successfully and efficiently.

Literature

- 1. Bell D. The Coming of Post-Indu/strial Society// A Venture of Social Forecasting. N. Y.: Basic Book's, 1973
- 2. Cormier D, Siemens G, The openo course: through the openo door-open courses as rese arch, learning, and engagement. Educ ause Rev.2010 №45.
- Gulaya T.M Modern Info communication and Remote Technologies in the Educ ational Space of School and High'er Education Institution: Materials of the International Scientific Conference. Prague: Vědecko vyda vatelské centrum "Sociosféra-CZ", 2014. №19. P. 44-50
- 4. Miya zoe, T. & Anderson, T., Interaction equi valency in an OER, MOOCS and informal lear ning era. Journal of Interactive Medi a in Education. 2013 №2. P.1-15
- 5. Nunan, D. Collaborative language learning and teaching. Cambridge University Press. 1992

UDC 372.881.111.1 ELECTRONIC PLATFORMS IN THE PROCESS OF DISTANCE LEARNING

Kuzakova Darya Petrovna

dar.kuzakova@gmail.com

a 1-year master student with a major in "Foreign Languages: Two Foreign Languages" the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan Scientific supervisor – G.I. Baigunissova

Blended learning forces us to consider the characteristics of digital technologies in General and information and communication technologies (ICTs) in particular. According to James Curran, a researcher at the University of Sydney, Australia, such a critical approach to the introduction of electronic, computer technologies allows students to independently navigate the ever-changing world of modern technologies [1]. At the same time, the format for presenting information has changed. Today, most of the information is presented in multimedia format: the text is constantly updated with audio, images, infographics, videos, and animations. Obviously, this trend is caused by a large amount of information. Messages in text form can quickly disappear in an intense news feed if other media formats do not have units of measurement. Modern universities are equipped with the latest technologies that contribute to the successful acquisition of knowledge by students.

As noted by Kudysheva A. A. the purpose of the blended method is to form students' ability to plan and organize their activities, focusing on the final result. Students learn to make informed choices, make decisions, and take responsibility for them. They form skills and abilities to work in the information space, independently search, select and analyze information, present the result using various modern technologies, i.e. the necessary speech and socio-cultural competencies are formed.

The blended learning model fits into the holistic concept of modern education, which is based on the introduction of new educational standards and joining the Bologna process and other international agreements. However, due to the fact that the level of information technology provision and the General level of computer literacy in most Kazakh educational institutions leaves much to be desired, it cannot be said that this model is widely used in the teaching process.

There are several reasons. One of the main problems is the fear of teachers to use modern computer technologies in their work. This characteristic can be attributed to people who are afraid to use new technological developments. As the role of teachers in the use of blended learning increases, we can say that motivation and the desire for self-education are absolutely necessary for a modern teacher in working with students. Another rather serious problem is the lack of time. Developing new